



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,718	09/10/1999	FARZAD NAZEM	17887-3-IUS	3195

7590

09/24/2003

PHILIP H ALBERT
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941113834

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CINDY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2171

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/393,718

Applicant(s)
NAZEM, et al.

Examiner
Nguyen, Cindy

Art Unit
2171



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Safet Metjahic

(3) _____

(2) Brian Young

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 15, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 10 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Blinn et al.; Gerace; and, DuFresne

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant argued none of the references (Blinn, Gerace, or DuFresne) discloses a storing a specific template program for a specific user. The examiner indicated that such may be a distinction, but would consider it upon formal filing of a response. Applicant agreed to file a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
Examiner's signature, if required